arXiv:1301.4657v2 [math.CO] 26 Jan 2013

An Extension of Cui-Kano's Characterization Problem on Graph Factors

Hongliang Lu*
School of Mathematics and Statistics
Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, PR China

Abstract

Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and let $H : V(G) \to 2^N$ be a set function associating with G. An H-factor of graph G is a spanning subgraphs F such that

$$d_F(v) \in H(v) \qquad \text{for every } v \in V(G).$$

Let $f : V(G) \to N$ be an even integer-valued function such that $f \geq 4$ and let $H_f(v) = \{1, 3, \ldots, f(v) - 1, f(v)\}$ for $v \in V(G)$. In this paper, we investigate H_f-factors of graphs G by using Lovász's structural descriptions. Let $o(G)$ denote the number of odd components of G. We show that if one of the following conditions holds, then G contains an H_f-factor.

(*i*) $o(G - S) \leq f(S)$ for all $S \subseteq V(G)$;

(*ii*) $|V(G)|$ is odd, $d_G(v) \geq f(v) - 1$ for all $v \in V(G)$ and $o(G - S) \leq f(S)$ for all $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq V(G)$.

As a corollary, we show that if a graph G with odd order and minimum degree $2n - 1$ satisfies

$$o(G - S) \leq 2n|S| \qquad \text{for all } \emptyset \neq S \subseteq V(G),$$

then G contains an H_n-factor. In particular, we make progress on the characterization problem for a special family of graphs proposed by Akiyama and Kano.

1 Introduction

All graphs in this paper are simple. Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. We denote the degree of v in G by $d_G(v)$. The minimum degree in graph G will be denoted by $\delta(G)$ and the maximum degree by $\triangle(G)$. The subgraph induced by the set S

---

*Corresponding email: luhongliang215@sina.com (H. Lu)

is denoted by $G[S]$. The number of components of graph G is denoted by $\omega(G)$ and the number of odd components of G by $o(G)$. Let $E_G(S,T)$ denote the set of edges of graph G with one end in S and the other end in T and $e_G(S,T) = |E_G(S,T)|$. The join $G = G_1+G_2$, is the graph obtained from two vertex disjoint graphs G_1 and G_2 by joining each vertex in G_1 to every vertex in G_2.

Let H be a function associating a subset of $\mathbb{Z}$ to each vertex of G. A spanning subgraph F of graph G is called an *H-factor* of G if

$$d_F(x) \in H(x) \qquad\qquad \text{for every vertex } x \in V(G). \tag{1}$$

By specifying $H(x)$ to be an interval or a special set, an H-factor becomes an f-factor, an $[a,b]$-factor or a (g,f)-factor, respectively.

Let F be a spanning subgraph of G. Following Lovász [8], one may measure the "deviation" of F from the condition (1) by

$$\nabla_H(F) = \sum_{v\in V(G)} \min\big\{|d_F(v) - h| :\ h \in H(v)\big\}. \tag{2}$$

Moreover, the "solvability" of (1) can be characterized by

$$\nabla(H) = \min\{\nabla_H(F) :\ F \text{ is a spanning subgraph of } G\}.$$

The subgraph F is said to be *H-optimal* if $\nabla_H(F) = \nabla(H)$. It is clear that F is an H-factor if and only if $\nabla_H(F) = 0$, and any H-factor (if exists) is H-optimal. Let

$$Q = \{h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_m\},$$

where $h_1 < h_2 < \cdots < h_m$. Then Q is called an *allowed set* if each of the gaps of Q has at most one integer, i.e.,

$$h_{i+1} - h_i \le 2 \qquad\quad \text{for all } 1 \le i \le m-1.$$

A set function H associating with G is called an *allowed set function* (following [8]) if $H(v)$ is an allowed set for all $v \in V(G)$.

Lovász [8] showed that if H is not an allowed set, then the decision problem of determining whether a graph has an H-factor is known to be NP-complete. Cornuéjols [3] provided the first polynomial algorithm for the problem with H allowed.

A special case of H-factor problem is the so-called *$(1,h)$-odd factor problem*, i.e., the problem with

$$H(v) = \{1,\, 3, \ldots,\, h(v)-2,\, h(v)\},$$

where $h : V(G) \to N$ be an odd function. For a constant odd integer $n \geq 1$, if $h(x) = n$ for all $x \in V(G)$, then $(1, h)$-odd factor is called $(1, n)$-*odd factor*. The first investigation of the $(1, n)$-odd factor problem is due to Amahashi [2], who gave a Tutte type characterization for graphs having a global odd factor.

Theorem 1.1 (Amahashi) *Let n be an odd integer. A graph G has an $(1, n)$-odd factor if and only if*

$$o(G - S) \leq n\,|S| \qquad \textit{for all subsets } S \subset V(G). \tag{3}$$

For general odd value functions h, Cui and Kano [4] established a Tutte type theorem.

Theorem 1.2 (Cui and Kano, [4]) *Let $h : V(G) \to N$ be odd value function. A graph G has an $(1, h)$-odd factor if and only if*

$$o(G - S) \leq h(S) \qquad \textit{for all subsets } S \subset V(G). \tag{4}$$

Noticing the form of the condition (4), they asked the question of characterizing graphs G in terms of graph factors such that

$$o(G - S) \leq 2n\,|S| \qquad \text{for all subsets } S \subset V(G). \tag{5}$$

Motivated by Cui-Kano's problem, Lu and Wang [9] consider the degree prescribed subgraph problem for the special prescription

$$H_n = \{1, 3, \ldots, 2n - 1, 2n\}. \tag{6}$$

Theorem 1.3 (Lu and Wang, [9]) *Let G be a connected graph. If*

$$o(G - S) \leq 2n\,|S| \qquad \textit{for all subsets } S \subset V(G), \tag{7}$$

then G contains an H_n-factor.

The condition of Theorem 1.3 implies that $|V(G)|$ is even. Let $H_n^* = H_n \cup \{-1\}$. For odd order graph, they obtained the following result (for convenience, the definition of H_n^*-critical graph will be introduced in Section 2).

Theorem 1.4 (Lu and Wang, [9]) *Let G be a connected graph of odd order. Suppose that*

$$o(G - S) \leq 2n|S| \qquad \textit{for all} \quad \emptyset \neq S \subset V(G). \tag{8}$$

Then either G contains an H_n-factor, or G is H_n^*-critical.

The condition (4) implies that the graph is even order. For odd order graph, Akiyama and Kano propose the following problem (see also [1, Problem (6.14)]).

Problem 1.5 (Akiyama and Kano, [1]) *Let G be a connected graph and $h : V(G) \to N$ be an even integer-valued function. If G satisfies*

$$o(G - S) \leq h(S) \qquad \text{for all } \emptyset \neq S \subset V(G), \tag{9}$$

what factor or property does G has?

Let $f \geq 4$ be an even integer-value function and let $H_f : V(G) \to 2^N$ be an set function such that $H_f(v) = \{1, 3, \dots, f(v) - 1, f(v)\}$ for $v \in V(G)$. Motivated by Akiyama-Kano's problem, we investigate the structure of graphs without H_f-factor by using Lovász's H-factor structure theory [8]. We obtain the following result, which is an extension of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.6 *Let G be a graph with even order. If*

$$o(G - S) \leq f(S) \qquad \text{for all } S \subset V(G), \tag{10}$$

then G contains an H_f-factor.

The inequality (10) also implies that $|V(G)|$ is even. For odd order graph, we solve Problem 1.5 and obtain a stronger result than Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 1.7 *Let G be a connected graph with odd order. Suppose that $d_G(v) \geq f(v) - 1$ for all $v \in V(G)$. If*

$$o(G - S) \leq f(S) \qquad \text{for all } \emptyset \neq S \subset V(G), \tag{11}$$

then G contains an H_f-factor.

Corollary 1.8 *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer and let G be a connected graph with odd order and minimum degree $2n - 1$. If*

$$o(G - S) \leq 2n|S| \qquad \text{for all } \emptyset \neq S \subset V(G), \tag{12}$$

then G contains an H_n-factor.

Remark 1: In Corollary 1.8, the conditions "$\delta(G) \geq 2n - 1$" is sharp. Let K_{2n-1} denote the complete graph of order $2n - 1$. Take $2n - 2$ disjoint copies of K_{2n-1}. Add a new vertices v and connect two vertices in each copy of K_{2n-1} to the new vertex v. This results a connected graph G with odd order $(2n-2)(2n-1)+1$ and minimum degree $2n-2$. It is easy to show that

$$o(G-S) \le 2n|S| \qquad \text{for all } \ \emptyset \ne S \subset V(G). \tag{13}$$

Now we show that G contains no H_n-factor. Otherwise, suppose that G contains an H_n-factor F. By parity, K_{2n-1} contains no H_n-factors and so F contains exactly an edge from a copy of K_{2n-1}. Then we have $d_F(v) = 2n-1 \notin H_n$, a contradiction.

Remark 2: In Corollary 1.8, the condition (12) is not necessary for the existence of an H_n-factor in a graph. Let $m \ge 2n+2$ be an even integer. Consider the graph

$$G = K_1 + mK_{2n+1}$$

obtained by linking a vertex v to all vertices in $2n+1$ copies of the complete graph K_{2n+1}. Clearly, G is a graph with odd order and minimum degree $2n+1$. It is easy to verify that G contains an H_n-factor. However, taking the subset S to be the single vertex v, we see that the condition (12) does not hold for G.

2 On H-critical Graphs

In this section, we study H-factors of graphs based on Lovász's structural description to the degree prescribed subgraph problem. Denote by $I_H(v)$ the set of vertex degrees in all H-optimal subgraphs of graph G, i.e.,

$$I_H(v) = \{d_F(v) : \text{ all } H\text{-optimal subgraphs } F\}.$$

Comparing the set $I_H(v)$ with H, one may partition the vertex set $V(G)$ into four classes:

$$C_H = \{v \in V(G) : \ I_H(v) \subseteq H(v)\},$$

$$A_H = \{v \in V(G) - C_H : \ \min I_H(v) \ge \max H(v)\},$$

$$B_H = \{v \in V(G) - C_H : \ \max I_H(v) \le \min H(v)\},$$

$$D_H = V(G) - A_H - B_H - C_H.$$

It is clear that the 4-tuple (A_H, B_H, C_H, D_H) is a pairwise disjoint partition of $V(G)$. We call it the *H-decomposition* of G. In fact, the four subsets can be distinguished according to the contributions of their members to the deviation (2). A graph G is said to be *H-critical* if it is connected and $D_H = V(G)$. For non-consecutive allowed set function, the only necessary condition of H-critical graph is given by Lovász [8]. In this paper, we obtain a sufficient condition for H-critical graph.

We write $MH(x) = \max H(x)$ and $mH(x) = \min H(x)$ for $x \in V(G)$. For $S \subseteq V(G)$, let $MH(S) = \sum_{x\in S} MH(x)$ and $mH(S) = \sum_{x\in S} mH(x)$. By the definition of A_H, B_H, C_H, D_H, the following holds:

(I) for every $x \in B_H$, there exists an H-optimal graph F such that $d_F(x) < mH(x)$;

(II) for every $x \in A_H$, there exists an H-optimal graph F such that $d_F(x) > MH(x)$;

(III) for every $x \in D_H$, there exists an H-optimal graph F such that $d_F(x) < MH(x)$ and other H-optimal graph F' such that $d_F(x) > mH(x)$.

Lovász [8] gave the following properties.

Lemma 2.1 (Lovász, [8]) *If G is a simple graph, then $I_H(v)$ is an interval for all $v \in D_H$.*

Lemma 2.2 (Lovász, [8]) *The intersection $I_H(v) \cap H(v)$ contains no consecutive integers for any vertex $v \in D_H$.*

Given an integer set P and an integer a, we write $P - a = \{p - a \mid p \in P\}$. Let C be a connected induced subgraph of G and $T \subseteq V(G) - V(C)$. Let $H_{C,T} : V(C) \to 2^N$ be a set function such that $H_{C,T}(x) = H(x) - e_G(x, T)$ for all $x \in V(C)$.

Lemma 2.3 (Lovász, [8]) *Every component R of $G[D_H]$ is H_{R,B_H}-critical and if F is H-optimal, then $F[V(R)]$ is H_{R,B_H}-optimal.*

Lemma 2.4 (Lovász, [8]) *If G is H-critical, then $\nabla(H) = 1$.*

Lemma 2.5 (Lovász, [8]) *For any H-optimal graph F, $E_G(B_H, B_H \cup C_H) \subseteq E(F)$, and $E_G(A_H, C_H \cup A_H) \cap E(F) = \emptyset$.*

Theorem 2.6 (Lovász, [8]) $\nabla(H) = \omega(G[D_H]) + \sum_{v\in B_H}(mH(v) - d_{G-A_H}(v)) - \sum_{v\in A_H} MH(v)$.

In the proof of main theorems, we need the following two technical lemmas.

Lemma 2.7 *Let F be an H-optimal subgraph. For every component R of $G[D_H]$, F misses at most an edge of $E_G(V(R), B_H)$.*

Proof. Let F be an H-optimal subgraph of G. We write $\tau_H = \omega(G[D_H])$ and $G[D_H] = C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_{\tau_H}$. Since C_i is H_{C_i,B_H}-critical, then C_i contains no H_{C_i,B_H}-factors. So if $def_F(C_i) = 0$, then F either misses at least an edge of $E(C_i, B_H)$ or contains at least an edges of $E(C_i, A_H)$. Let τ_B denote the number of components of $G[D_H]$ such that F misses at least an edge of $E(C_i, B_H)$ and τ_A denote the number of the components of $G[D]$ such that F contains at least an edge of $E(C_i, A_H)$. Let τ_c denote the number of components C_i of $G[D_H]$ such that F contains at least one edge of $E(C_i, A_H)$ and misses at least one edge $E(C_i, B_H)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_H(F) &\geq \tau_H - \tau_A - \tau_B + \tau_c + \sum_{x \in A_H \cup B_H} \min\{|r - d_F(x)| \mid r \in H(x)\} \\
&\geq \tau_H - \tau_A - \tau_B + \tau_c + \sum_{x \in A_H} (d_F(x) - MH(x)) + \sum_{x \in B_H} (mH(x) - d_F(x)) \\
&\geq \tau_H - \tau_A - \tau_B + \tau_c + (e_F(A_H, B_H) + \tau_A - MH(A_H)) + \sum_{x \in B_H} (mH(x) - d_F(x)) \\
&= \tau_H - \tau_B + \tau_c + (e_F(A_H, B_H) - MH(B_H)) + \sum_{x \in B_H} (mH(x) - d_F(x)) \\
&\geq \tau_H - \tau_B + \tau_c + (e_F(A_H, B_H) - MH(A_H)) + (mH(B_H) - (e_F(A_H, B_H) + \sum_{x \in B_H} d_{G-A_H}(x) - \tau_B)) \\
&= \tau_H(A_H, B_H) + \tau_c + mH(B_H) - MH(A_H) - \sum_{x \in B_H} d_{G-A_H}(x) \geq \nabla(H).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\nabla_H(F) = \nabla(H)$, then we obtain $\tau_c = 0$ and

$$\sum_{x \in B_H} d_F(x) = e_F(A_H, B_H) + \sum_{x \in B_H} d_{G-A_H}(x) - \tau_{B_H},$$

which implies that F misses at most an edge from C_i to B. This completes the proof for $1 \leq i \leq \tau_H$. □

Lemma 2.8 *Let G be a graph and let $H : V(G)$ be an allowed set function. If $MH(v) - 1 \in H(v)$ and $d_G(v) \geq MH(v) - 1$ for all $v \in V(G)$, then G is not H-critical.*

Proof. By contradiction, we firstly assume that G is H-critical. Let F be an H-optimal subgraph of G such that $E(F)$ is maximal.

Since G is H-critical and F is H-optimal, then by Lemma 2.4, we have $d_F(v) \leq MH(v) + 1$ for all $v \in V(G)$. We claim that there exists a vertex $x \in V(G)$ such that $d_F(x) = MH(x) + 1$. Otherwise, suppose that $d_F(v) \leq MH(v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$. Then there exists a vertex $v \in V(G)$ such that $d_F(v) \notin H(v)$ and so $0 \leq d_F(v) \leq f(v) - 2$. Hence there exists an edge $e \in E(G) - E(F)$, which is incident with vertex v. Then $F \cup \{e\}$ is also H-optimal, contradicting to the maximality of F. Thus there exists a vertex $x \in V(G)$ such that $d_F(x) = MH(x) + 1$. Since $I_H(x)$ is an interval and $I_H(x) \cap H(x)$ contains no two consecutive integers, then we have $\min I_H(x) \geq MH(x)$, contradicting to $x \in D_H$.

This completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 2.9 *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer and let G be a graph. If $\delta(G) \geq 2n - 1$, then G is not H_n-critical.*

3 The Proof of Theorems 1.6 and 1.7

In this section, we assume that $f : V(G) \to Z^+$ be an even integer-valued function such that $f \geq 4$ and $H_f(v) = \{1, 3, \ldots, f(v) - 1, f(v)\}$ for all $v \in V(G)$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let G be a graph and let A_{H_f}, B_{H_f}, C_{H_f} and D_{H_f} be defined as above. Then*

- *(a) $E_G(B_{H_f}, B_{H_f} \cup C_{H_f}) = \emptyset$;*
- *(b) For every component R of $G[D_{H_f}]$, $|V(R)| + |E_G(V(R), B_{H_f})| \equiv 1 \pmod 2$;*
- *(c) every component R of $G[D_{H_f} \cup B_{H_f}]$ is odd.*

Proof. Firstly, we prove (a) by contradiction. Suppose that there exists an edge $e \in E_G(B_{H_f}, B_{H_f} \cup C_{H_f})$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $e = uv$ and $u \in B_{H_f}$. For any H-optimal graph F, by Lemma 2.5, $e \in E(F)$ and so $d_F(v) \geq 1$, contradicting to the definition of B_{H_f}. This completes the proof of (a).

Secondly, we prove (b). By Lemma 2.3, R is $H_{R,B_{H_f}}$-critical. For simplicity, we write $H_R = H_{R,B_{H_f}}$. We claim that $f(u) - e_G(u, B_{H_f}) \notin I_{H_R}(u)$ for all $u \in V(R)$. Otherwise, suppose that there exists a vertex $x \in V(R)$ such that $f(x) - e_G(x, B_{H_f}) \in I_{H_R}(x)$. By Lemma 2.2, $I_{H_R}(x) \cap H_R(x)$ does not contain two consecutive integers and so we have $f(x) - 1 - e_G(u, B_{H_f}) \notin I_{H_R}(x)$. By Lemma 2.1, $I_{H_R}(x)$ is an interval and so we have $\min I_{H_R}(x) \geq MH_R(x)$, contradicting to the definition of H-critical graphs. Hence $I_{H_R}(u) \subseteq [0, f(u) - 1 - e_G(u, B_{H_f})]$. Let F be an H_f-optimal graph and $F^* = F[V(R)]$. By Lemma 2.3, F^* is an H_R-optimal subgraph of graph R. Furthermore, by Lemma 2.3, R is H_R-critical and so there exists a vertex $x \in V(R)$ such that $d_{F^*}(x) \notin H_R(x)$ and $d_{F^*}(y) \in H_R(y)$ for all $y \in V(R) - x$.

Hence for every vertex $y \in V(R) - x$, $d_{F^*}(y) \equiv f(y) - 1 - e_G(y, B) \pmod 2$ and $d_{F^*}(x) \equiv f(x) - 2 - e_G(x, B_{H_f}) \pmod 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{v \in V(R)} d_{F^*}(v) &\equiv \sum_{y \in V(R)-x} (f(y) - 1 - e_G(y, B_{H_f})) + f(x) - 2 - e_G(x, B_{H_f}) \pmod 2\\ &\equiv \sum_{y \in V(R)} e_G(y, B_{H_f}) + |V(R)| - 1,\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\sum_{y \in V(R)} e_G(y, B_{H_f}) + |V(R)| \equiv 1 \pmod 2.$$

This completes the proof of (b).

Finally, we prove (c). We write $B_{H_f} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{|B_{H_f}|}\}$ and $G[D_{H_f}] = C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_\tau$. For $1 \leq i \leq |B_{H_f}|$ and $1 \leq j \leq \tau$, we claim $e_G(v_i, V(C_j)) \leq 1$. Otherwise, suppose that there exists $v \in B_{H_f}$ and a component C_i of $G[D_{H_f}]$ such that $e_G(v, V(C_i)) \geq 2$. For arbitrary H_f-optimal graph F, by Lemma 2.7, then we have $d_F(v) \geq 1$, contradicting $v \in B_{H_f}$.

Let R be an arbitrary connected component of $G[D_{H_f}]$. Without loss of generality, we write $V(R) = C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_k \cup B_1$, where $B_1 = \{y_1, \ldots, y_r\}$. Now we construct a graph R^* obtained from R by contracting C_i to a vertex x_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$. By (a), R^* is a bipartite graph.

Claim 1. R^* is a tree.

Since R is connected, then R^* is connected. Now we show that R^* contains no cycles. Conversely, suppose that R^* contains a cycle $x_1 y_1, \ldots, x_m y_m x_1$. We write $W = C_{i_1} \cup \cdots \cup C_{i_m}$ and $B_2 = \{y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$. By Lemma 2.7, for any H-optimal graph F, F contains at least m edges from W to B_2. Now we claim that $d_F(v) = 1$ for all $v \in B_2$, otherwise, there exists a vertex $v \in B_2$ such that $d_F(v) \geq 2$ contradicting to $v \in B_2 \subseteq B_{H_f}$. Since F is an arbitrary H_f-optimal graph and $d_F(v) = 1$ for all $v \in B_2$, then we have $B_2 \subseteq C_{H_f}$, a contradiction again. This completes Claim 1.

Let $W^* = V(C_1) \cup \cdots \cup V(C_k)$. By Claim 1, R^* is a tree, which implies that $e_G(W^*, B_1) = k + r - 1$. By (b), we have

$$\begin{aligned}k &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^{k} (|V(C_i)| + e_G(V(C_i), B_{H_f})) \pmod 2\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k} |V(C_i)| + e_G(W^*, B_1)\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k} |V(C_i)| + k + r - 1,\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} |V(C_i)| + r \equiv 1 \pmod 2.$$

Hence $|V(R)|$ is odd. This completes the proof. $\square$

By Theorems 2.6 and 3.1, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.2 *If graph G contains no H_f-factors, then there exists two disjoint subsets S, T of $V(G)$ such that*

$$f(S) - |T| + \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - q(S, T) < 0,$$

where $q(S, T)$ denote the number of components C of $G - S - T$ such that $|V(C)| + e_G(V(C), T) \equiv 1 \pmod 2$.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Since $|V(G)|$ is even, by Theorem 3.1 (b), G is not H_f-critical. By Lemma 3.1 (a) and (c), $E_G(B_{H_f}, C_{H_f}) = \emptyset$ and every component of $G[D_{H_f} \cup B_{H_f}]$ is an odd component. We write $\omega(G[D_{H_f} \cup B_{H_f}]) = k$ and $G[D_{H_f} \cup B_{H_f}] = R_1 \cup \ldots \cup R_k$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $V(R_i) = V(C_{i1}) \cup \cdots \cup V(C_{ir_i}) \cup B_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, where C_{ij} is a component of $G[D_{H_f}]$ for $1 \leq j \leq r_i$ and $B_i \subseteq B_{H_f}$. By Theorem 2.6, Theorem 3.1 (c) and Claim 1 of Theorem 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 < \nabla(H_f) &= \omega(G[D_{H_f}]) + |B_{H_f}| - \sum_{x \in B_{H_f}} d_{G-A_{H_f}}(x) - f(A_{H_f}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k} (|B_i| + r_i - \sum_{x \in B_i} d_{G-A_{H_f}}(x)) - f(A_{H_f}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k} (|B_i| + r_i - e_G(B_i, V(R_i) - B_i)) - f(A_{H_f}) \\
&= k - f(A_{H_f}) \\
&= o(G[D_{H_f} \cup B_{H_f}]) - f(A_{H_f}) \\
&\leq o(G - A_{H_f}) - f(A_{H_f}),
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.7. By Lemma 2.8, G is not H_f-critical. Then we have $A_{H_f} \cup B_{H_f} \neq \emptyset$. For every component C_i of $G[D_{H_f}]$ and every vertex v, we claim $E_G(C_i, v) \leq 1$. Otherwise, suppose that $e_G(C_i, v) \geq 2$. By Lemma 2.7, we have $d_F(v) \geq 1$ for any H_f-optimal graph F, contradicting to $v \in B_{H_f}$.

Claim 1. $A_{H_f} \neq \emptyset$.

Otherwise, suppose that $A_{H_f} = \emptyset$. Then we have $B_{H_f} \neq \emptyset$. Let $D_{H_f} = C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_k$ and $B_{H_f} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$. Since G is connected, then we have $C_{H_f} = \emptyset$ and $e_G(C_i, B_{H_f}) \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Now we show that there exists a component C_i of $G[D_{H_f}]$ such that $e_G(C_i, B_{H_f}) = 1$. Otherwise, assume that $e_G(C_i, B_{H_f}) \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Note that $d_G(v) \geq f(v) - 1 \geq 3$ for all $v \in B_{H_f}$. Then we have $e_G(D_{H_f}, B_{H_f}) \geq (2k + 3r)/2 > k + r$. For any H_f-optimal subgraph F, by Lemma 2.7, it misses at most k edges of $E_G(B_{H_f}, D_{H_f})$ and so it contains at least $r + 1$ edges of $E_G(B_{H_f}, D_{H_f})$. Hence there exists a vertex $v \in B_{H_f}$, such that $d_F(v) \geq 2$, contradicting to $v \in B_{H_f}$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $e_G(C_1, v_1) = 1$ and $u_1v_1 \in E(G)$, where $u_1 \in V(C_1)$ and $v_1 \in B_{H_f}$. By Lemma 2.3, C_1 is H'_f-critical, where $H'_f : V(C_1) \to 2^N$ be a set function such that $H'_f = \{0, 2, \ldots, f(v_1) - 2, f(v_1) - 1\}$ and $H'_f(u) = H_f(u)$ for all $u \in V(C_1) - v_1$. Note that $d_{C_1}(v_1) \geq f(v_1) - 2$ and $d_{C_1}(u) \geq f(u) - 1$ for all $u \in V(C_1) - v_1$, a contradiction by Lemma 2.8. This complete Claim 1.

Let m denote the number of components and $G[D_{H_f} \cup B_{H_f}] = R_1 \cup \cdots \cup R_m$. Suppose that $V(R_i) \cap B_{H_f} = B_i$ and R_i contains r_i connected components of $G[D_{H_f}]$. Then by Theorem 3.1 and Claim 1 of Theorem 3.1, there exists nonempty A_{H_f}, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 < \nabla(H_f) &= \omega(G[D_{H_f}]) + |B_{H_f}| - \sum_{x \in B_{H_f}} d_{G-A_{H_f}}(x) - f(A_{H_f}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m} (|B_i| + r_i - \sum_{x \in B_i} d_{G-A_{H_f}}(x)) - f(A_{H_f}) \\
&= m - f(A_{H_f}) \\
&= o(G[D_{H_f} \cup B_{H_f}]) - f(A_{H_f}) \\
&\leq o(G - A_{H_f}) - f(A_{H_f}),
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof. □

References

[1] J. Akiyama and M. Kano, Factors and Factorizations of Graphs, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 2031, Springer, 2011.

[2] A. Amahashi, On factors with all degree odd, *Graphs and Combin.*, **1** (1985), 111–114.

[3] G. Cornuéjols, General factors of graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **45** (1988), 185–198.

[4] Y. Cui and M. Kano, Some results on odd factors of graphs, *J. Graph Theory*, **12** (1988), 327–333.

[5] M. Kano, G. Y. Katona, and J. Szabó, Elementary graphs with respect to f-parity factors, *Graphs and Combin.*, **25** (2009), 717–726.

[6] M. Kouider and P. D. Vestergaard, Connected factors in graphs–a survey, *Graphs and Combin.*, **21** (2005), 1–26.

[7] L. Lovász, The factorization of graphs, in: Combinatorial Structures and Their Applications (Proc. Calgary Internat. Conf., Calgary, Alta., 1969), 243–246, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1970.

[8] L. Lovász, The factorization of graphs. II, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **23** (1972), 223–246.

[9] H. Lu and G. Wang, On Cui-Kano's characterization problem on graph factors, *J. Graph Theory*, DOI: 10.1002/jgt.21712.

[10] M. D. Plummer, Graph factors and factorization: 1985–2003: A survey, *Discrete Math.*, **307** (2007), 791–821.

[11] J. Topp and P. D. Vestergaard, Odd factors of a graph, *Graphs and Combin.*, **9** (1993), 371–381.

[12] Q. Yu and G. Liu, Graph Factors and Matching Extensions, Springer, 2009.